

VENTURA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
District Council of Administrative Services (DCAS)

Thursday, April 7, 2011

NOTES

Attendees: John al-Amin, Robert Cabral, Dominga Chavez, Riley Dwyer, Alan Hayashi, Iris Ingram, Sue Johnson, Dave Keebler, Deborah LaTeer, Darlene Melby, Mary Anne McNeil, Karen Osher, Trevor Zierhut, Peter Sezzi

Absent: Blanca Barrios

The meeting began at approximately 8:32 a.m. in the Board Room at VCCCD.

APPROVAL OF NOTES

The notes from the March 10, 2011 meeting were approved by consensus.

COMPONENTS OF ALLOCATION MODEL

Ms Johnson distributed a copy of the Allocation Model narrative that was approved by DCAS and the

Robert also commented that the model does not define how individual campuses budget funds. After the funds are allocated, the colleges' own processes for priorities kick-in. Faculty may see that they do not have the funding they desire and therefore blame the model.

Sue also reminded DCAS members that the model does not generate revenue (and in these financially-challenged times that is even more apparent). It merely distributes the resources. Sue said the model is complex and through its complexity, it is designed to address the differences between the colleges.

It was the consensus of DCAS to move forward with the budget process with the current model as presented.

LOCAL STRUCTURAL DEFICITS

Sue briefly recapped the District's continued need to address local structural deficits and the elements that have already been agreed to:

Structural Deficit (Revenue) Resources:

- Lottery Proceeds
- Interest Income
- Enrollment Fees (Waivers & Local Share)

Structural Deficit Resource Allocation:

- Scheduled Maintenance & Capital Furniture (inc7(nie)Tj 0 Tw 0.55 0 Td ()Tj E 4.5 -1(goF

Dave Keebler briefly explained each component of the structural deficit model and stated that the “drivers” are key to the integrity of that model.

Dominga expressed concern about the reductions already going to the Board and how each campus may incur additional cuts by removing revenue from the general allocation model to fund the structural deficits.

Alan suggested that staff create hypothetical structural deficit funding scenarios to be evaluated at the next meeting. He said it would paint a clearer picture of what kind of numbers we’re looking at. Sue said that we will get scenarios out to DCAS in advance of the next meeting.

A list of possible triggers (draft) along with a possible timeline was also distributed. Sue asked that DCAS members thoroughly review the handouts, including possible triggers, for a meaningful discussion in May or June.

John al-Amin raised the issue of the ultimate timeline for full funding. He suggested that the model and simulations be more aggressive in order to achieve the ultimate goal sooner and in a meaningful fashion.

NEXT MEETING

Thursday, May 19, 2011 – 8:30 a.m. – Review of the Tentative Budget for Recommendation to Board.

Meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:44 a.m.